

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

•			CONFIRMATION NO.	
01/17/2006	Judy Lieberman	033393-055194	1754	
50828 7590 05/21/2008 DAVID S. RESNICK		EXAMINER		
100 SUMMER STREET NIXON PEABODY LLP		PITRAK, JI	PITRAK, JENNIFER S	
Y LLP 110-2131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		1635		
			DELIVERY MODE PAPER	
(CK REET Y LLP	CK REET Y LLP	CK EXAM REFT PITRAK.E Y LLP 10-2131 AKTUNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 622 LIEBERMAN ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JENNIFER PITRAK 1635 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 72-99 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 72-99 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/533,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 72, 74, 75, and 80-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of an anti-apoptotic gene.

Group II, claim(s) 72, 74, 75, and 80-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of a pro-apoptotic gene.

Group III, claim(s) 72, 74, 75, and 78-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of Fas.

Group IV, claim(s) 72, 74, 75, and 78-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of Fas L.

Group V, claim(s) 73, 76, 77, and 80-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of TNF-alpha.

Group VI, claim(s) 73, 76, 77, and 80-83, drawn to a composition comprising a double-stranded siRNA agent, which inhibits expression of IL-1.

Group VII, claim(s) 84-99, drawn to methods of inhibiting apoptosis in a cell or subject comprising administering an siRNA composition which modulates Fas expression.

Group VIII, claim(s) 84-99, drawn to methods of inhibiting apoptosis in a cell or subject comprising administering an siRNA composition which modulates FasL expression.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special Application/Control Number: 10/533,622

Art Unit: 1635

technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature, siRNAs targeting apoptosis-related genes, does not make a contribution over the prior art. A report by Lassus, et al., (2002, Science v.297:1352-4) describes the prevention of apoptosis by inhibiting caspase-2 and Apaf-1 expression (Figure 1 and column 1 on p.1353).

Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

hepatitis, liver cancer, cirrhosis, transplant rejection, and allograft rejection.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/533,622

Art Unit: 1635

claims 88-93 and 98 are directed to hepatitis; claims 88-92, 94, 95, and 98 are directed to liver cancer; claims 88-92, 96, and 98 are directed to cirrhosis; claims 88-92, 97, and 98 are directed to transplant rejection; claims 88-92, 98, and 99 are directed to allograft rejection.

The following claim(s) are generic: 88-93 and 98.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each condition has unique properties requiring unique therapies, absent evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER PITRAK whose telephone number is (571)270-3061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/533,622 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Pitrak, PhD Examiner Art Unit 1635

> /Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635